



Journey through a Project (Large-Scale Geotechnical Testing)

Kyungtae Kim, Post-doctoral fellow

Ahmed Elgamal, Professor

University of California, San Diego

December 13-14, 2018



Outline

- **About Laminar Soil Container**
- **How to Plan Geotechnical Testing**
 1. **Model Construction**
 2. **Timeline**
 3. **Filling / Excavation**
 4. **Instrumentation**
- **Case Studies**
 1. **Shallow Tunnel**
 2. **U-Shaped Retaining Wall**
 3. **Retaining Wall with dense $c-\phi$ soil**
- **Lessons Learned from Case Studies**

Laminar Soil Container



Laminar Weight to Soil Weight Ratio (target)	8 — 15%
Length to Height Ratio	$L/H < 2.0$
Width to Height Ratio	$W/H < 1.0$
Deflection Due to Soil-Water (2000 kg/m^3)	$L/1000$
Ratio of Frequency of Lateral Support (f_{lat}) to Interested Maximum Frequency (f_{max})	$f_{\text{lat}}/f_{\text{max}} > 2.5$
Ratio of Out-of-Plan Acceleration to Maximum Horizontal Acceleration	0.1 — 0.25
Ratio of Maximum Vertical Acceleration to Maximum Horizontal Acceleration	0.5 — 0.67
Laminar Frame to Soil Weight Ratio / Lateral Support to Soil Weight Ratio	< 0.1


Test Model Construction



Test Model Construction



Timeline of Geotechnical Testing



Filling The Box / Excavation

➤ Dry Sand (Carroll Canyon Type II)




➤ Saturated Sand (Ottawa Sand)



Instrumentation


- Accelerometers
- String potentiometers (SP)
- Linear potentiometers (LP)
- Strain gauges
- Pressure sensors





Case Study 1: Shallow Tunnel Testing

➤ Objectives

1. To evaluate seismic response of a shallow tunnel under different ground conditions:
 - 1) Backfill soil material properties
 - 2) Thickness of overburden soil (burial depth)
2. To provide recommendations for the current Caltrans seismic design criteria for shallow tunnels



Test Model Configurations



Instrumentation

➤ Over 200 Channels

Strain gauges (S) / Inclinometers (Inc) /
Linear potentiometers (LP) /
Tactilus pressure sensors (TP) /
Tekscan sensor


String potentiometers (SP)

Linear potentiometers (LP)




East

West

Accelerometers (A)





Dynamic Response of Tunnel



Case Study 2: U-Shaped Retaining Wall Testing

➤ Motivation:

- Spillway walls are abutted on highly compacted soil.
- Stiffness and strength of the retained backfill might be different on one side of the spillway versus the other
- This issue is conceptually addressed by employing soil compacted at different levels on either side of the spillway model in the tests.



Test Model Configurations

Model 1: D120 soil ($R = 99\%$, $\gamma = 19 \text{ kN/m}^3$)


Model 2: D120 soil ($R = 99\%$, $\gamma = 19 \text{ kN/m}^3$)

Model 3: D104 soil ($R = 85\%$, $\gamma = 16.5 \text{ kN/m}^3$)


Model 1: D104 soil ($R = 85\%$, $\gamma = 16.5 \text{ kN/m}^3$)

Model 2: D94 soil ($R = 85\%$, $\gamma = 15 \text{ kN/m}^3$)


Model 3: D94 soil ($R = 85\%$, $\gamma = 15 \text{ kN/m}^3$)




Instrumentation




Instrumentation of Retaining Wall



Shake Table Test: Model 1 – Nor100PT0



Lateral Wall Deflection During Shakings



Case Study 3: Lateral Earth Pressure Testing





Wilson, P., and Elgamal, A. (2015). "Shake table lateral earth pressure testing with dense c- ϕ backfill." *Soil Dyn. Earthquake Eng.*, 71, 13–26.

Test Model Configuration

➤ Objectives:

To evaluate:

- 1) Influence of soil cohesion
- 2) Effect of small wall movements on the magnitude and distribution of earth pressure.



Wilson, P., and Elgamal, A. (2015). "Shake table lateral earth pressure testing with dense c-φ backfill." *Soil Dyn. Earthquake Eng.*, 71, 13–26.

Lessons Learned

➤ **Plan and Manufacture ahead before you arrive on site**

- Instrumentation: sensor types, calibration,...
- Plastic liner / plywood
- Shake table input motions (OLI)

➤ **Think about staffing**

- Construction: site staff, local engineering company
- Backfill/Removal: different approaches depending on soil types and conditions (dry and saturated)

➤ **Achieve the target soil properties**

- Plan for secondary tests for shear wave velocity, relative density, and water table
- CPT / Water table measuring device / Sand cone / Nuclear gauge

➤ **System identification**

- High-resolution acceleration (sampling rate at 25,000 Hz, compared to 240 Hz for the main DAQ system)
- White noise / Hammer test

Thank You